

Electronic Discovery and Digital Evidence: Cases and Materials

Anticipated Publication: December 2008

ISBN 978-0-31419-131-1

Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin, United States District Judge, Southern District of New York (author of landmark *Zubulake* opinions and member of Civil Rules Committee that prepared the electronic discovery amendments of 2006).

Daniel J. Capra, Philip Reed Professor of Law, Fordham Law School (Reporter to Advisory Committee on Evidence Rules, principal draftsman of proposed Rule 502 on waiver of privilege in electronic discovery cases).

Preface

Introduction: The 2006 Amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: The Rules Governing the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information

Pertinent Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

I. The Effect of Electronic Information on Discovery Practice

- A. Explosion of Information and Increased Sources of Information
- B. Retrieving Back-up Information

II. Preservation of Electronic Information

- A. Records Retention Policies
 - * *Arthur Andersen LLP v. United States*, 544 U.S. 696 (2005)
 - * *Rambus, Inc. v. Infineon Technologies AG*, 222 F.R.D. 280 (E.D. Va. 2004)
- B. Implementing the Duty to Preserve
 - * *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC*, 229 F.R.D. 422 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (*Zubulake V*)
- C. Trigger Date
 - * *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC*, 220 F.R.D. 212 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (*Zubulake IV*)

- D. What Records Must be Preserved: Accessible and Not Reasonably Accessible ESI
 - * *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC*, 220 F.R.D. 212 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (Zubulake IV)
- E. Does ESI Include Ephemeral Data?
 - * *Columbia Pictures Industries v. Bunnell*, No. CV06-1093, 2007 WL 2080419 (C.D. Cal. May 29, 2007)
- F. Litigation Holds/Monitoring
 - * *Cache La Poudre Feeds, LLC v. Land O'Lakes, Inc.*, 244 F.R.D. 614 (D. Colo. 2007)
- G. Preservation Orders
 - * *Capricorn Power Co., Inc. v. Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp.*, 220 F.R.D. 429 (W.D. Pa. 2004)
- H. Possession, Custody, Control
 1. What Is Control?
 - * *In re NTL, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 244 F.R.D. 179 (S.D.N.Y. 2007)
 - * *Hatfill v. The New York Times Company*, 242 F.R.D. 353 (E.D. Va. 2006)
 2. What Is Possession?
 - * *Phillips v. Netblue, Inc.*, No. C-05-4402, 2007 WL 174459 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 22, 2007)

III. Meet and Confer (Rule 26(f)) and Initial Scheduling Conference (Rule 16)

- * *In re Seroquel Products Liability Litigation*, 244 F.R.D. 650 (M.D. Fla. 2007)

IV. Data Collection

- A. Searching All Appropriate Sources
 - * *Peskoff v. Faber*, No. 04-526, 2006 WL 1933483 (D.D.C. July 11, 2006)
- B. The Role of Outside Counsel
 - * *Qualcomm, Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.*, No. 05 Civ. 1958, 2008 WL 66932 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2008), *vacated in part by* 2008 WL 638108 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 5, 2008)

V. Production Issues

- A. Rule 34: Form of Production (Paper, Electronic, Native or Other Forms)
 - * *D’Onofrio v. SFX Sports Group, Inc.*, 247 F.R.D. 43 (D.D.C. 2008)
- B. Search Methods
 - * *Victor Stanley, Inc. v. Creative Pipe, Inc.*, 250 F.R.D. 251 (D. Md. 2008)
 - * *United States v. O’Keefe*, 537 F. Supp. 2d 14 (D.D.C. 2008)
- C. Use of Technology for Search and Review
 - 1. The Use of Selection Criteria and Filtering to Manage ESI
 - * *ClearOne Communications, Inc. v. Chiang*, No. 07 Civ. 37, 2008 WL 920336 (D. Utah Apr. 1, 2008)
 - 2. The Use of Technology for Review
 - * *Treppel v. Biovail*, 233 F.R.D. 363 (S.D.N.Y. 2006)
- D. Metadata
 - * *Williams v. Sprint/United Management Co.*, 230 F.R.D. 640 (D. Kan. 2005)
 - * *Wyeth v. IMPAX Laboratories, Inc.*, 248 F.R.D. 169 (D. Del. 2006)
- E. On-Site Inspections — Neutral Experts, Confidentiality Protection, Inspection Protocols
 - * *Ferron v. Search Cactus, L.L.C.*, No. 2:06-CV-327, 2008 WL 1902499 (S.D. Ohio Apr. 28, 2008)
- F. Discovery from Most Knowledgeable Person
 - * *Heartland Surgical Specialty Hospital, LLC v. Midwest Division, Inc.*, No. 05-2164, 2007 WL 1054279 (D. Kan. Apr. 9, 2007)
- G. When Must Not Reasonably Accessible Data Be Produced?
 - * *W.E. Aubuchon Co., Inc. v. BeneFirst, LLC*, 245 F.R.D. 38 (D. Mass. 2007)
- H. Cost Sharing/Cost Shifting
 - 1. Accessible Data — Rule 26(b)(2)(B)
 - * *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC*, 217 F.R.D. 309 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (Zubulake I)
 - 2. Not Reasonably Accessible Data — Rule 26(b)(2)(B)
 - * *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC*, 216 F.R.D. 280 (S.D.N.Y. 2003) (Zubulake III)

3. Additional Factors
 - * *Wiginton v. CB Richard Ellis, Inc.*, 229 F.R.D. 568 (N.D. Ill. 2004)
- I. Production from Nonparties Pursuant to Rule 45
 - * *In re Subpoena Duces Tecum to AOL, LLC*, 550 F. Supp. 2d 606 (E.D. Va. 2008)
- J. Cross-Border Production Issues
 - * *Strauss v. Credit Lyonnais, S.A.*, 242 F.R.D. 199 (E.D.N.Y. 2007)

VI. Spoliation and Sanctions

- A. What Constitutes Spoliation?
 1. Definition and Standard
 - * *Connor v. Sun Trust Bank*, 546 F. Supp. 2d 1360 (N.D. Ga. 2008)
 2. Culpability — Intentional, Bad Faith, Grossly Negligent or Negligent Conduct
 - * *Residential Funding Corp. v. DeGeorge Financial Corp.*, 306 F.3d 99 (2d Cir. 2002)
 - * *Stevenson v. Union Pacific RR Co.*, 354 F.3d 739 (8th Cir. 2004)
 3. Prejudice
 - * *Greyhound Lines, Inc. v. Wade*, 485 F.3d 1032 (8th Cir. 2007)
 - * *Zubulake v. UBS Warburg LLC*, 229 F.R.D. 422 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (Zubulake V)
- B. Rule 37(e): Safe Harbor — Good Faith Operation of an Electronic Information System
 - * *Doe v. Norwalk Community College*, 248 F.R.D. 372 (D. Conn. 2007)
- C. Default Judgments, Dismissal, and Adverse Inferences
 - * *Metropolitan Opera Association, Inc. v. Local 100, Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees International Union*, 212 F.R.D. 178 (S.D.N.Y. 2003)
- D. Monetary Sanctions
 - * *Doctor John's, Inc. v. City of Sioux City, Iowa, Iowa*, 486 F. Supp. 2d 953 (N.D. Iowa 2007)
- E. Sanctioning a Party/Sanctioning Counsel

- * *Qualcomm Inc. v. Broadcom Corp.*, No. 05 Civ. 1958, 2008 WL 66932 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2008), *vacated in part by* 2008 WL 638108 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 5, 2008)

VII. Ethical Issues in E-Discovery

- A. Metadata
- B. Transparency in Electronic Discovery Promotes “Just, Speedy, and Inexpensive” Litigation
- C. Duties of Candor, Competence, and Fairness
 - * *Wachtel v. Health Net, Inc.*, 239 F.R.D. 81 (D.N.J. 2006)
- D. Supervision, Outsourcing, Litigation Support, and Lawyer Adjuncts

VIII. Privilege Issues Arising During Electronic Discovery

- A. The Risks of Waiver and the Costs of Pre-Production Privilege Review of Electronic Data
 - * *Hopson v. The Mayor and City Council of Baltimore*, 232 F.R.D. 228 (D.Md. 2005)
- B. Agreements between the Parties to Control the Cost of Pre-Production Privilege Review of Electronic Data
- C. Common-Law Protections Against Waiver by Inadvertent Disclosure: What Precautions Should Be Employed?
 - * *Victor Stanley, Inc. v. Creative Pipe, Inc.*, No. MJG-06-2662, 2008 WL 2221841 (D. Md. May 29, 2008)
- D. Legislative Solution to Limit the Costs of Review of Electronic Data for Privilege: Federal Rule of Evidence 502
 - * *In re Nitla S.A. de C.V.*, 92 S.W.3d 419 (Tex. 2002)
- E. What Do You Do if You Receive Mistakenly Disclosed Privileged Information?

IX. Admissibility of Digital Evidence

- A. Introduction
 - 1. How Is Digital Evidence Used in Court?
 - 2. Which Evidentiary Rules Are Most Often Invoked When Digital Evidence Is Proffered?
- B. General Approach
 - * *Costantino v. Herzog*, 203 F.3d 164 (2d Cir. 2000)

- C. Application of Authenticity Rules to Digital Evidence
 - * *United States v. Safavian*, 435 F. Supp. 2d 36 (D.D.C. 2006)
 - * *United States v. Tank*, 200 F. 3d 627 (9th Cir. 2000)
- D. Application of the Best Evidence Rule to Digital Evidence
 - * *United States v. Bennett*, 363 F.3d 947 (9th Cir. 2004)
- E. Application of the Hearsay Rule (and Business Records Exception) to Digital Evidence
 - * *United States v. Salgado*, 250 F.3d 438 (6th Cir. 2001)
- F. Application of Federal Rule 403 to Digital Evidence
 - * *Bowoto v. Chevron Corp.*, No. C99-02506, 2006 WL 1627004 (N.D. Cal. Jun. 12, 2006)
- G. Application of Rules on Expert Testimony to Digital Evidence
 - * *In re Three Mile Island Litigation*, 193 F.3d 613 (3d Cir. 1999)
 - * *United States v. Quinn*, 18 F.3d 1461 (9th Cir. 1994)
- H. Summary on Admissibility of Digital Evidence

Appendix

1. 2006 Advisory Committee Notes to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
2. Uniform Rules Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws
3. Guidelines for State Trial Courts Regarding Discovery of Electronically-Stored Information, National Conference on State Courts Conference of Chief Justices
4. The Sedona Principles
5. Federal Rules of Evidence
6. The Sedona Conference® Glossary: E-Discovery & Digital Information Management (Second Edition)